

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	TION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/701,259	11/04/2003	Peiguang Zhou	KCC-19,694	6079	
7590 10/18/2005			EXAMINER		
Melanie I. Rauch			RONESI, VICKEY M		
Pauley Petersen	& Erickson				
Suite 365		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
2800 West Higg	gins Road	1714			
Hoffman Estate		DATE MAILED: 10/18/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/701,2	59	ZHOU ET AL.				
Office Action Summary		Examine	•	Art Unit				
		Vickey Ro	nesi	1714				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears on the	e cover sheet with t	he correspondence ac	ldress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE Masions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum is to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF The sof 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. tatutory period will apply and we will. by statute, cause the app	HIS COMMUNICAT ent, however, may a reply l ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS dication to become ABAND	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this of the control of the contr				
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on						
, 	•	2b) This action is r	on-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	•						
4)	Claim(s) is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> are subject to restrict	are withdrawn from co						
Applicat	ion Papers	·						
10)	The specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on is/are Applicant may not request that any objected the oath or declaration is objected.	e: a) accepted or bection to the drawing(s) g the correction is requi	be held in abeyance. red if the drawing(s) i	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 C				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
2) Notion Notion Notion Notion	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 of the PTO-1449		Paper No(s)/M	mary (PTO-413) lail Date mal Patent Application (PT	⁻ O-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-10, drawn to a composition, classified in class 524, subclass 270.
- II. Claims 11-24, drawn to a laminate, classified in class 442, subclass 149.
- III. Claims 25-35, drawn to a method of using the composition or method of making the laminate, classified in class 156, subclass 60.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because:

Inventions I and II are related as mutually exclusive species in an intermediate-final product relationship. Distinctness is proven for claims in this relationship if the intermediate product is useful to make other than the final product (MPEP § 806.04(b), 3rd paragraph), and the species are patentably distinct (MPEP § 806.04(h)). In the instant case, the intermediate product is deemed to be useful as chewing gum and the inventions are deemed patentably distinct since there is nothing on this record to show them to be obvious variants. Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions anticipated by the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Inventions I and III are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product

:

Application/Control Number: 10/701,259

Art Unit: 1714

as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the composition can be used as a coating on a single substrate or as a chewing gum.

Inventions III and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the laminate can be prepared by spreading or spraying the elastomeric composition onto a substrate. The method claims have been kept together since they are considered to be patentably distinct.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Melanie Rauch on 10/12/2005 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1714

Contact Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vickey Ronesi whose telephone number is (571) 272-2701. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8:30 a.m. - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on (571)'272-1119. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10/13/2005

VASU JAGANNATHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY PATENT AND OGY CENTER 1700